the church at **Corinth** it was the **subject** of much **confusion**, and Paul writes nearly a **chapter** of **instruction** to help **correct** the Corinthians concerning their use of it in **chapter 14**. There Paul gives a lengthy **discussion** about tongues, its use both as a revelatory gift used in the church assembly (14:3-6, 12, 16-19, 26-28), and as a private prayer language (14:2, 4-5, 14-16, 18-19, 26), used when one is alone in **prayer**. The discussion is a **reproof** and **correction** to the **Corinthians** that when meeting in the **corporate assembly**, they should strive for gifts that edify the whole church like prophecy, and that tongues would only be valid in that setting if there were an interpreter to give the meaning, since when one speaks in tongues "for no one understands, but in his spirit he speaks mysteries" (14:2). When tongues was used in the assembly, and the interpretation was given, by someone with the gift of interpretation of tongues, this was a revelatory message from the Spirit of God which could edify the whole church(14:26-27), such use of the gift was therefore valid. But, as Paul explains, "but if there is no interpreter, let him keep silent in the church; and let him speak to himself and to God" (14:28), and such use of tongues in the assembly was therefore invalid. When Paul says "but if there is no interpreter, let him keep silent in the church; and let him speak to himself and to God" in 14:28, he clearly asserts that there is another valid private use of the gift of speaking in tongues whereby one would "28 speak to himself and to God." This is consistent with how Paul had described the **use** of the **gift** earlier.

1 Corinthians 14:2-4 - 2 For one who speaks in a tongue does not speak to men, but to God; for no one understands, but in his spirit he speaks mysteries. 3 But one who prophesies speaks to men for edification and exhortation and consolation. 4 One who speaks in a tongue edifies himself; but one who prophesies edifies the church."

Paul had described it as a **gift** for **personal edification** (v-4), where one could **pray** or even **sing** (14-15), a gift he wanted **everyone** to **have** (v-5) for which he thanked God that He used more than anybody else.

1 Corinthians 14:18-19 - 18 I thank God, I speak in tongues more than you all; 19 however, in the church I desire to speak five words with my mind, that I may instruct others also, rather than ten thousand words in a tongue. NASB

Nevertheless, "in the church," that is in the corporate assembly, Paul explains that the gift of tongues is not properly used if no one provides interpretation, and that it were much better if one would prophesy, so that all may be edified (14:4-5,17).

1 Corinthians 14:26-28 - 26 What is the outcome then, brethren? When you assemble, each one has a psalm, has a teaching, has a revelation, has a tongue, has an interpretation. Let all things be done for edification. 27 If anyone speaks in a tongue, it should be by two or at the most three, and each in turn, and let one interpret; 28 but if there is no interpreter, let him keep silent in the church; and let him speak to himself and to God. NASB

Although this is true, Paul still exclaims "do not forbid speaking in tongues" (14:39). He obviously intends for the gift to be used in its valid form.

Clearly, the **goal** of the **use of tongues** and **interpretation of tongues** in the **corporate assembly** is the **edification** of the **whole church**, with this **revelatory aspect** of **tongues** and **interpretation of tongues**. But since the **closing** of the **NT Canon of Scripture**, this gift **no longer** has a **valid** use in the corporate **assembly**, as God is **no longer** bringing **new revelation**, which was the **purpose** of these two gifts working **together**. The church should strive for the **use** of **gifts** in the **corporate assembly** that build up the church so that **everyone** may be edified (14:12).

As mentioned, the **gift of tongues** is the subject of much **controversy**. Although we will not be addressing these at this point, here are a few of the items concerning the gift which creates such controversy.

- Is it a known human language? Or something else, like the tongues of angels (1 Cor 13:1)? Unlearned real human language or not?
- Is what happened at Pentecost in Acts 2 the same thing that is happening in 1 Corinthians 14?
- Were the tongues in Corinth ecstatic (ecstasy, a state of being beyond control... advanced mental dissociation)? Is ecstasy involved? Is the mind engaged when speaking? Does the speaker have control?
- What is the gift for, what is its purpose?
- Did this gift cease after the apostolic age ended?
- Is the modern use of tongues counterfeit or demonic?

11 But one and the same Spirit works all these things, distributing to each one individually just as He wills - Paul summarizes verses 4-11 here by contrasting the many diverse varieties of spiritual gifts, but are all given by "one and the same Spirit." Moreover, he describes the Spirit's work here, specifically how the Spirit sovereignly distributes "to each one individually just as He wills." He gives